


How's Life in LUXEMBOURG?





This chart shows Luxembourg's relative strengths and weaknesses in well-being compared to other OECD countries. Longer bars always indicate better outcomes (i.e. higher well-being), whereas shorter bars always indicate worse outcomes (lower well-being) – including for negative indicators, marked with an *, which have been reverse-scored. Inequalities (gaps between top and bottom, differences between groups, people falling under a deprivation threshold) are shaded with stripes. Indicators in dark red refer to missing data for the indicator for the country, but are indicators otherwise available in the How's Life database.

For more information

Whenever data are available for fewer than 38 OECD countries, the number of countries included in the calculation of the OECD average is specified in the figure and note (e.g. OECD 33). Whenever data for the country of this note are not available, only the OECD average is shown.

Find out more:

- About the Well-being framework OECD How's Life? Well-being Database: Definitions and Metadata
- · About the underlying dataset, select the How's Life? Well-being database
- About the latest edition of the How's Life? publication series
- About the Well-being Centre, Centre on Well-being, Inclusion, Sustainability and Equal Opportunity (WISE)




Luxembourg's resources for future well-being, 2010 (or earliest available year) to 2022 (or latest available year)

🕒 Natural Capital	
Greenhouse gas emissions per capita Tonnes per capita, CO2 equivalent, thousands	2215
Red List Index of threatened species Combined indicator of extinction risk	0.984 0.985
3 Material footprint ↗ Tonnes per capita	4745
🔤 Economic Capital	
Financial net worth of government ★ of GDP	4652
Household debt % of household net disposable income	161193
Produced fixed assets ✓ USD at 2015 PPPs, per capita	225 62 34K
🕘 Human Capital	
Premature mortality Years of potential life lost per 100 000 population	3,506 2849
$ \underbrace{ \text{Labour underutilisation rate} }_{ \bigstar \ \% \ \text{of labour force unemployed, discouraged and underemployed} } $	¹⁴ ~~~9
Educational attainment of young adults M % of population aged 25-34 having completed upper secondary educ	sation 86 ~~~ 89
💿 Social Capital	
Gender parity in politics	2532
$ \begin{array}{c} \hline 1 \\ \leftrightarrow \end{array} \\ \stackrel{\textbf{Trust in government}}{ \leftrightarrow } \\ \stackrel{\textbf{\% of the population responding positively}}{ \end{array} $	80~~~76
Content of the second state of the second	5 6

Note: O =top-performing OECD tier, O =middle-performing OECD tier, O =bottom-performing OECD tier. \checkmark indicates consistent improvement; \leftrightarrow indicates no clear or consistent trend; \checkmark indicates consistent deterioration, and "..." indicates insufficient time series to determine trends since 2010. Data refer to 2022 or latest available year.





Inequalities between top and bottom performers in Luxembourg

Life satisfaction scores of the top 20% relative to the bottom 20%

4


5


Hungan Latvia Colombia

Ó

1

Israe Costa Rica United States Chile






1.0

0.5

1.7

1.5




Note: For all figures, countries are ranked from bottom (more equal) to top (less equal). Indicators whose title are in dark red refer to missing data for the indicator for the country, but are indicators otherwise available in the How's Life database.

Ne Slovak Republic Luxembourg

0.0

Inequalities between men and women in Luxembourg

Gender ratios (distance from parity) for selected indicators of current well-being, 2022 or latest available year




Note: Grey bubbles denote no clear difference between age groups (ratios within 0.03 points to parity). Data for social support and feeling safe refer to pooled averages from 2010 to 2022. Indicators in dark red refer to missing data for the indicator for the country, but are indicators otherwise available in the How's Life database.




Inequalities between age groups in Luxembourg

Age ratios (distance from parity) for selected indicators of current well-being, 2022 or latest available year



Panel B. Younger and older age groups




Note: Age ranges differ according to each indicator: they generally refer to 15-24/29 years for the young age group, 25/30 to 45/50 years for the middle age group and 50 years and over for older age group. Grey bubbles denote no clear difference between age groups (ratios within 0.03 points to parity). Data for social support and feeling safe refer to pooled averages from 2010 to 2022. Indicators in dark red refer to missing data for the indicator for the country, but are indicators otherwise available in the How's Life database.



Inequalities between people with different educational attainment in Luxembourg

Education ratios (distance from parity) for selected indicators of current well-being, 2022 or latest available year



Note: Grey bubbles denote no clear difference between age groups (ratios within 0.03 points to parity). Data for social support and feeling safe refer to pooled averages from 2010 to 2022. Indicators in dark red refer to missing data for the indicator for the country, but are indicators otherwise available in the How's Life database.