



Telecommunications Services 2023


Key findings

- The average 2023 STRI in the telecommunication sector is 0.23 out of a maximum of 1 (most trade restricted), indicating substantial scope for reductions of barriers to services trade. However, individual country scores diverge considerably, ranging between 0.08 and 0.71.
- The best performing countries in the sector are the United Kingdom, Spain, and Portugal. Most reforms in 2023 were recorded in Korea, Spain, and Malaysia.
- In this sector, barriers related to restrictions on foreign entry are most prominent in OECD economies, amounting to 34% of all restrictions recorded in the STRI database. In non-OECD economies, barriers to competition are relatively more prominent and amount to 51% of all restrictions.
- OECD estimates suggest that halving the distance to best practice in this sector is associated with a reduction in the costs of cross-border trade in telecommunication services between 6% and 12% for the average country included in the STRI database.

The telecommunication sector comprises wired and wireless telecommunications activities (ISIC Rev 4 code 61). Modern telecommunication networks are essential, as without them global value chains would be impossible. Furthermore, these services are at the core of our information driven society and provide the network over which other services, including computer services, audiovisual services, professional services, and many more, are traded.

The 2023 STRIs in the telecommunication sector range between 0.08 and 0.71, with a sample average of 0.23 (Figure 1). There are 35 countries below and 15 countries above the average. The best performing countries in the sector are the United Kingdom, Spain, and Portugal.

Figure 1. STRI in telecommunication services, 2023



Note: The STRI indices take values between zero and one, one being the most restrictive. The indices are based on laws and regulations in force on 31 October 2023. The STRI regulatory database covers the 38 OECD countries, Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Kazakhstan, Malaysia, Peru, Russian Federation, Singapore, South Africa, Thailand, and Viet Nam. The statistical data for Israel are supplied by and under the responsibility of the relevant Israeli authorities. The use of such data by the OECD is without prejudice to the status of the Golan Heights, East Jerusalem and Israeli settlements in the West Bank under the terms of international law.

Source: OECD (2023). STRI database.

Several countries introduced regulatory changes affecting the STRIs in 2023 (Figure 2, Panel A) and more so since 2014 (Figure 2, Panel B). In 2023, the STRIs in this sector saw the biggest changes in Estonia (15%), the Slovak Republic (15%), Belgium (14%), Malaysia (-9%), Spain (-9%), and Korea (-10%). Since 2014, on the one hand, countries that have had the strongest restrictive trends in the telecommunication services sector include Lithuania (43%), Poland (40%), and Denmark (35%). On the other hand, strong liberalisation has taken place in Malaysia (-20%), Brazil (-21%), and Mexico (-27%).

Figure 2. Change in the last year and since 2014, by country




Note: Selection criteria for Panel A were based on largest absolute changes since 2022. Panel B selection is the 3 largest increases, and the 3 largest decreases in the STRI since 2014.

Source: OECD (2023). STRI database.

The measures in the STRI database are organised under five policy areas (Figure 3). Restrictions on foreign entry include barriers related to establishing and operating companies, such as foreign equity limits or requirements on board of directors and cross-border data flows. Restrictions on the movement of people cover barriers that affect the temporary entry of foreign services providers through quotas, labour market tests and short durations of stay. Other discriminatory measures include discrimination of foreign services suppliers as far as taxes, subsidies and public procurement are concerned. Barriers to competition include information on anti-trust policy, government ownership of major firms and the extent to which government-owned enterprises are exempt from competition laws. Regulatory transparency includes information on consultations and dissemination prior to laws and regulations entering into force. It also records information on obtaining a license or a visa. In this sector, barriers related to restrictions on foreign entry are most prominent in OECD economies, amounting to 34% of all restrictions. In non-OECD economies, barriers to competition are relatively more prominent and amount to 51% of all restrictions.

Figure 3. STRI for telecommunication by policy area in OECD and non-OECD economies, 2023



Source: OECD (2023). STRI database.

There are no countries that are fully closed to trade in this sector.

Table 1 lists the most relevant restrictions identified in each policy area. Under restrictions on foreign entry, common impediments relate to screening of foreign investment projects, as well as the acquisition and use of land and real estate. Similarly, local residence requirements for members of the board of directors are observed in many countries. Foreign equity limits are also present but to a lesser degree. Restrictions to the movement of people are relatively common across the board and include mostly short stay permits for initial and labour market tests.

Regarding barriers to competition, it is important to note that telecommunications is a capital-intensive network industry and access to essential facilities and switching costs may favour incumbent firms. These market imperfections may constitute a substantial entry barrier, even in the absence of explicit foreign entry restrictions. Restrictions on secondary spectrum trading and government ownership of major firms are widely observed in this sector. In 19 countries included in the STRI database, the national regulatory authority is not independent from the government.

With respect to other discriminatory measures, inadequate access to regulated rates and conditions for retail international roaming services, as well as barriers related to accessing public procurement markets, remain the most common challenges. Under barriers related to regulatory transparency, inadequate access to information on relevant regulations and licensing agreements, as well as cumbersome visa conditions, are the most common challenges in this sector.

Table 1. Examples of relevant measures by policy area, 2023

| Policy area | Measure | Countries having a restriction ¹ |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Restrictions on foreign entry | Screening exists without exclusion of economic interests | 38 |
| | Acquisition and use of land and real estate by foreigners is restricted | 34 |
| | Board of directors: at least one must be resident | 20 |
| | There are limits to the proportion of shares that can be acquired by foreign investors in publicly-controlled firms | 18 |
| Restrictions to movement of people | Labour market tests or similar economic considerations: intra-corporate transferees | 37 |
| | Labour market tests or similar economic considerations: contractual services suppliers | 36 |
| | Limitation on duration of stay for contractual services suppliers | 36 |
| | Other restrictions to movement of people | 9 |
| Other discriminatory measures | Foreign suppliers have non-discriminatory access to regulated rates and conditions for retail international mobile roaming services | 50 |
| | Foreign suppliers have non-discriminatory access to regulated rates and conditions for wholesale international mobile roaming services | 49 |
| | Public procurement: Procurement regulation explicitly prohibits discrimination of foreign suppliers | 42 |
| | Public procurement: The procurement process affects the conditions of competition in favour of local firms | 24 |
| | Public procurement: Explicit preferences for local suppliers | 21 |
| Barriers to competition | Secondary spectrum trading is allowed | 26 |
| | National, state or provincial government control at least one major firm in the sector | 26 |
| | "Use it or lose it" applies to spectrum | 21 |
| | The government can overrule the decision of the regulator | 19 |
| | Decisions by the regulatory body can be appealed | 14 |
| Regulatory transparency | Range of visa processing time (days) | 28 |
| | Number of documents needed to obtain a business visa | 26 |
| | Licensing agreements are publicly available | 3 |

Note: The count for "memo" type of measures, which are not scored in the STRI, indicates the number of positive answers recorded for that measure across the 50 countries covered. The topmost relevant measures are selected on the basis of the following criteria: (1) most restricted horizontal measures (i.e. same answer across sectors), (2) most restricted sector-specific measures, (3) key measures, or (4) memos affecting the score of other measures through hierarchy rules.

Source: OECD STRI database (2023).

More information

- » Access all country notes, sector notes, and interactive STRI tools at <http://oe.cd/stri>
- » Read more about [Services Trade Policies and the Global Economy](#)
- » Calculation based on: Benz, S. and A. Jaax (2020), "The costs of regulatory barriers to trade in services: New estimates of *ad valorem* tariff equivalents", *OECD Trade Policy Papers*, No. 238, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/bae97f98-en>
- » Contact the OECD Trade and Agriculture Directorate with your questions at stri.contact@oecd.org