

Climate change, fisheries and aquaculture in the Pacific:

Adaptations for food security, livelihoods & economic growth*

Johann Bell

* Based on presentation made at ICES/PICES/FAO Symposium of the 'Climate change effects on fish and fisheries', Sendai, Japan, April 2010





Outline

- Role of fisheries and aquaculture in the lives of the people of the Pacific Community
- Plans to maintain the benefits of fisheries in the face of key drivers
- Vulnerability of these plans to climate change
- How best to adapt

Vulnerability of Fisheries and Aquaculture in the Pacific to Climate Change





Our approach



Australian Government

AusAID

Multi-model mean from 13 'Coupled Model Intercomparison Project III' models used for IPCC AR-4







Australian Government

AusAID

70 contributors from 30 institutions

- Alfred-Wegener-Institute, Germany
- Australian Institute of Marine Science
- CSIRO
- CLS, Satellite Oceanography Division, France
- C20 Consulting, Australia
- Danish Meteorological Institute
- Forum Fisheries Agency
- Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority
- IFREMER
- Institut de Recherche pour le Developpement
- James Cook University
- LSCE, IPSL, Paris, France
- Network of Aquaculture Centres for Asia -Pacific
- NOAA
- Papua New Guinea National Fisheries Department

- Secretariat of the Pacific Community
- Service de la Peche French Polynesia
- Snowy Mountains Engineering Corporation
- SOPAC
- Solomon Islands Ministry of Fisheries
- SPREP
- The WorldFish Center
- University of Hawaii
- University of Auckland
- University of New South Wales
- University of Queensland
- University of Singapore
- University of Tasmania
- Vanuatu Fisheries Department
- Virginia Institute of Marine Science, USA
- Western Australia Department of Fisheries

Lead authors: Janice Lough, Alex Ganachaud, Robert Le Borgne, Ove Hoegh-Guldberg, Michelle Waycott, Peter Gehrke, Patrick Lehodey, Morgan Pratchett, Tim Pickering, Johann Bell

Editors: Johann Bell, Johanna Johnson, Alistair Hobday

1. Roles of fisheries and aquaculture





- Livelihoods
- Economic growth and government revenue

Food security

Per capita fish consumption - rural (kg)



Source: Bell et al. (2009); Gillett (2009)

Food security

Per capita fish consumption - rural (kg)



Source: Bell et al. (2009); Gillett (2009)

Livelihoods

Coastal households selling fish (%)



Economic contributions





Source: Gillett (2009)

2. Plans to maintain benefits

How much fish will be needed for future food security?

How many livelihoods can fish resources and aquaculture sustain?

How can tuna best contribute to economic growth and government revenue?



Food security



Source: SPC (2008)

Livelihoods

• Domesticate tuna operations - every 100,000 tonnes landed in the region creates 10,000 jobs



Tuna catch from the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (2007)



1,727,000 mt



432,000 mt





143,000 mt

95,000 mt

Livelihoods

Restore fisheries for export commodities



Sites across the Pacific







Government revenue

 PNA members have 25% of world's tuna resources and plan to bargain collectively for higher fees



3. Vulnerability of Pacific Community to changes in fisheries resources



Source: Adapted from D. Schroter and the ATEAM consortium 2004

Vulnerability of Pacific Community to changes in fisheries resources



Source: Adapted from D. Schroter and the ATEAM consortium 2004,

Key drivers of change

(Future of Pacific Fisheries Study - 2010)

- Population growth and urbanisation
- Governance and political stability
- Global economic conditions
- Status of fisheries in other oceans
- Climate change
- Markets and trade
- Fuel costs
- Technology and innovation
- Foreign aid

Population growth and urbanisation

| Population | 2010 | 2035 | Change |
|------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| Rural | 7,447,753 | 9,998,975 | 34 % |
| Urban | 2,413,735 | 5,007,625 | 107 % |
| Total | 9,861,488 | 15,006,600 | 52 % |

Vulnerability of plans for food security

Fish available from coastal fisheries

Based on 3 tonnes of fish per square km of reef (Newton et al. 2007)

| Sustainable production EXPECTED to meet future needs | Sustainable produc- tion NOT EXPECTED to meet future needs | Sustainable production ADEQUATE but distribution difficult |
|--|--|---|
| \checkmark | × | ? |
| Cook Islands | American Samoa | Kiribati |
| Marshall Islands | CNMI | FSM |
| New Caledonia | Fiji | French Polynesia |
| Palau | Guam | Niue |
| Pitcairn Islands | Nauru | Tonga |
| Tokelau | Papua New Guinea | Tuvalu |
| | Samoa | Wallis and Futuna |
| | Solomon Islands | |
| | Vanuatu | |
| | | |
| | | |

Vulnerability of plans for food security



2035

>

Vulnerability of plans for food security

| Sustainable production EXPECTED to meet future needs | Sustainable produc- tion NOT EXPECTED to meet future needs | Sustainable production ADEQUATE but distribution difficult |
|--|--|---|
| \checkmark | × | ? |
| Cook Islands | American Samoa | Kiribati |
| Marshall Islands | CNMI | FSM |
| New Caledonia | Fiji | French Polynesia |
| Palau | Guam | Niue |
| Pitcairn Islands | Nauru | Tonga |
| Tokelau | Papua New Guinea | Tuvalu |
| _ | Samoa | Wallis and Futuna |
| | Solomon Islands | |
| | Vanuatu | |
| | | |

Effects of population growth



2010 2035 2050 2100

Gap to be filled



2010 2035 2050 2100

Projections for coastal fisheries under climate change





2035 A2 (-2 to -5%)



2050 A2 (-20%)



2100 A2 (-20 to -50%)



Added effects of climate change



4. How should the Pacific Community adapt?

Adaptation decision framework

Addresses Climate Change



After Grafton (2010)



Time













Develop pond aquaculture





Tuna – the main win-win adaptation



2010 2035 2050 2100

Tuna – the main win-win adaptation

Abundances projected to increase under climate change



Based on output of SEAPODYM modelling for skipjack tuna by P. Lehodey et al., relative to 1980-2000, in the eastern Pacific area 15°N to 15°S and 170°E to 150°W

Projections for tuna (Solomon Islands)

NOW

2035 A2 (+3.2 %)





2050 A2 (-5.5%)



2100 A2 (-15.4 %)



Projections for tuna (Solomon Islands)

NOW

2035 A2 (+3.2 %)

Governments of PNG and Solomon Islands will need to allocate a greater proportion of tuna resources for food security

2050 A2 (-5.5%)



2100 A2 (-15.4 %)



Other adaptations



Moratoriums to rebuild seacucumber fisheries





Other adaptations



Other adaptations



Summary

- Population growth is a stronger driver than climate change for food security
- Lose-Win adaptations needed to restore and sustain production potential of coastal fisheries
- Win-Win adaptations are needed to respond to both drivers (by diversifying access to fish for food security)

Acknowledgements



Australian Government

AusAID

- Technical Working Group to the project:
- Heads of Fisheries Departments across the Pacific
- Forum Fisheries Agency
- SOPAC
- SPREP