

# Financial markets supervision: Poland`s case

*Jaroslaw H. Kozlowski PhD*

***PFSA November 17, 2009***

## Plan of presentation:

- History
- Present time
- Recommendations

## The beginnings of financial supervision in Poland:

- The Governor of the NBP */National Bank of Poland/* supervised the banking sector: 1989 – 1997
- The Department of Insurance in Ministry of Finance supervised the insurance sector until 1996
- The Polish SEC */Securities and Exchange Commission/* supervised the capital market: 01.06.1991 – 19.09.2006

## The Polish SEC was the first institution in Poland created exclusively to supervise part of the financial market /the capital market/.

- The government authority
- The commission as collegial body had the decision – making power
- The Act on Public trading from 1991 regulated its activity
- Supervised by the Prime Minister */the Minister of Finance from 1997/*
- Able to issue technical regulations for supervised entities */until 1997/*

## The most important changes up until September 19, 2006:

- The Prime Minister appoints the Chairman of the PSEC for 5 year term and shall dismiss him only under circumstances laid down in the *Act /from 1994/*
- The budget had been financed partly by the capital market and from 2003 in full
- The Composition of the commission had been to ensure an adequate level of competence

## The banking sector:

- The Governor of NBP /1989 – 1997/
- The Commission of Banking Supervision: collegial body with the Governor of NBP /1998 -2006/ and than with the Chairman of PFSA /to the end of 2007/ as its a Chairman
- The composition of the commission had been to ensure an adequate level of competence
- Funded by the NBP budget

## The insurance sector:

- The Department of Insurance in the Ministry of Finance */until 1996/*
- The State Insurance Supervisory Authority - SISA /1996 – 2003/
- The Chief of Authority was a single body of the state administration with decision – making power
- The Minister of Finance supervised Authority /appointed the Chief for 5 year term and shall dismiss him only under circumstances laid down in the Act/
- The budget financed partly by the insurance market

## The pension funds sector:

- The pension reform has been starting in Poland in 1997
- The Pension Funds Supervisory Authority – PFSA was created in 1998 */existed until 2003/*
- 
- The Chief of Authority was a single body of state administration with decision – making power
- The Prime Minister supervised Authority */appointed the Chief of Authority for 5 year term and shall dismiss him only under circumstances laid down in the Act/*
- The budget funded partly by the pension funds market



The Commission of Insurance and Pension Funds Supervisory /CIPFS/ was created on April 1st, 2003 by merging the State Insurance Supervisory Authority and the Pension Funds Supervisory Authority.

- The collegial body with decision – making power
- The Prime Minister appointed the Chairman for 5 year term and shall dismiss him only under circumstances laid down in the Act
- The Minister of Finance supervised the Commission
- The composition of the commission had been to ensure an adequate level of competence
- The budget was funding by insurance and pension fund markets

## In European Union three models of financial supervision are being practiced:

- A three sector */pillar/* model (banking, insurance, securities)
- A two pillar model (prudential *versus* conduct of business)
- An integrated model (all types of supervision under one roof)

## There are three operating committees on the EU level coordinating the work of national supervisors:

- 1. CESR** – The Committee of European Securities Regulators
  - 2. CEBS** – The Committee of European Banking Supervisors
  - 3. CEIOPS** – The Committee of European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Supervisors
- A three sector /pillar/ approach
  - On the basis of these three committees will be created three new EU agencies with wider supervisory power in 2011

## January 1st, 2008 Poland joined the group of countries with integrated financial supervision.

- First step, September 19, 2006 as a result of the merger of the PSEC and the IPFSC the PFSA was established.
- In the next step the PFSA has assumed supervision of the banking sector since January 1st, 2008

## Tasks of the PFSA cover banking supervision, capital market supervision, insurance supervision, pension scheme supervision and supervision of electronic money institutions.

- The PFSA is a collective organ with decision - making power
- Act of 21 July 2006 on financial market supervision regulates the PFSA activity
- Composition of the commission to ensure an adequate level of competence
- The PFSA is supervised by the Prime Minister
- The Prime Minister appoints the PFSA`s Chairman for 5 year term and shall dismiss him under circumstances laid down in the Act
- The PFSA shall present an annual report on its operations to the Prime minister by July 31st, of the following year
- The budget of the PFSA is fully financed by the market

## Recommendations:

the choice between the integrated or the other model of supervision depends on the specific conditions in a country.

- A history of financial market */supervisory experience/*
- A size of financial market and its segments
- A level of development market infrastructure */complexity of financial instruments, the education of investors/*
- Economies of scale
- Cultural and political factors

## Recommendations (II):

### Factors distinguishing a correct model of financial supervision:

- Independence from the influence of the current policy on its activity: *a term for a Chairman - e.g. 5 year, a collegial body with the composition of committee to ensure an adequate level of competence, an independent budget financed by the market*
- Possibility of challenging the decision in an administrative court
- Transparency

## Recommendations (III)

To consider:

- The right issue regulations on the technical side of supervised entities
- The Prosecutorial powers in the fight against crime in the financial markets



## Recommendations (IV)

- In the case of several supervisors in the financial markets very important is the agreement for exchange of confidential information between supervisors
- In the case of model bases on collective bodies very important is the mutual representation by chairmen and members in other bodies

## Conclusions:

- **Every supervisor should be effective, efficient and... inexpensive!!!**
- I hope, that my short presentation helps you to achieve this **GOAL!!!**

THANK YOU!