Offshoring and Skill-upgrading in French Manufacturing: A Heckscher-Ohlin-Melitz View

Juan Carluccio (Banque de France & Surrey) Alejandro Cuñat (Vienna & CESifo) Harald Fadinger (Mannheim & CEPR) Christian Fons-Rosen (Pompeu Fabra & CEPR)

26 June 2017

Motivation

- How does international trade affect the demand for skilled relative to unskilled workers in industrialized countries?
- Heckscher-Ohlin (HO) theory has traditionally focused on between-industry effects

 skill-abundant countries specialize in skill-intensive sectors.
- However,
 - Crucial assumption of HO model that variation in factor intensities is between industries is violated: large variation in firm-level skill intensities within 4-digit industries (e.g. Corcos et al., 2013).
 - Most of the increase in skill intensities has occurred within firms despite higher skill premia.
- We build a theoretical model and test its predictions on sourcing patterns and domestic skill demand using a quasi-exhaustive panel of French manufacturing firms from 1996-2007.

- Descriptive evidence
- Ø Model: brief description and main predictions
- Oata
- Empirical results (OLS, IV in annex)
- Onclusions

Within-sector variation in skill intensity



Figure : Distribution of log skill intensity within 4-digit manufacturing sectors. The figure plots the distribution of the firm-level log skill intensity, defined as the ratio of employment of non-blue collar workers to blue-collar production workers per firm.

Import share from labor-abundant countries and aggregate manufacturing skill intensity in France



Figure : Trend in imports from labor-abundant countries and trend in skill intensity in France (non-production/production workers in French manufacturing)

Firm-level skill intensity and imports from labor-abundant/skill-abundant countries



Figure : Skill intensity of domestic production for importers from labor-abundant countries/importers from skill-abundant countries/both sets of countries/non-importers

Carluccio, Cuñat, Fadinger, Fons-Rosen

- We introduce 2 changes to the HO model to reconcile it with the evidence:
 Focus on firms' offshoring decisions (2 thirds of trade is in inputs).
 Add firm heterogeneity (Melitz, 2003).
- We develop a HO offshoring model with heterogeneous firms:
 - Countries differ in human capital abundance and inputs differ in skill intensity.
 - Human-capital-rich countries have a lower skill premium and thus a comparative advantage in skill-intensive inputs
 → i.e. computers are made cheaper in the US than in China.
 - Firms in any country can import intermediate inputs, subject to fixed costs.
 → Offshoring arises because of factor-price differences, but only
 productive-enough firms offshore due to the fixed costs.

On sourcing patterns: TFP, origin the type of inputs imported

• The more productive a firm is, the more it will offshore inputs for which the cost-difference is low:

 \rightarrow compare two firms offshoring to a labor-abundant country: the low-TFP firm will offshore the most labor-intensive input, the high-TFP firm will *also* offshore the more skill-intensive inputs.

 \rightarrow same intuition applies to the offshoring locations for a given input.

On domestic skill intensity: origin of inputs

- Offshoring to a skill-abundant country involves relatively skill-intensive inputs
 → These inputs are no longer produce in-house: domestic skill intensity *decreases*.
- Offshoring to a labor-abundant country involves relatively labor-intensive inputs
 These inputs are no longer produce in-house: domestic skill intensity increases.

A B F A B F

Data

- Trade at firm-level (customs data): Firm-level imports and exports broken down by source country and HS6 product.
- "BRN" dataset. Administrative balance sheet dataset, exhaustive for medium and large firms to construct TFP (Levinsohn-Petrin).
- Skill structure at firm level. "DADS": occupational structure for all French firms with at least one employee. Provides number of jobs of each of seven categories (from managers to blue collars).

skill intensity_{f,t} =
$$\frac{non - blue - collar employment_{f,t}}{blue - collar employment_{f,t}}$$

• Skill intensity of product *p*: computed from US industry-level data (NBER):

 $skillint_p = non - blue \ collar \ employment_{p,US} / blue \ collar \ employment_{p,US}$

• Skill intensity of imports from labor-abundant countries:

Import skill intensity_{ft} =
$$\sum_{p} w_{pft} \times skillint_{p}$$

• w_{pft}: share of product p in imports of f from labor-abundant countries.

(日) (周) (三) (三)

- We consider countries with less (more) than 95% of French level of secondary schooling as labor abundant (skill abundant) (results are robust to alternative thresholds).
- Panel of 104,436 firms with data on TFP (3 factors capital, skilled labor, unskilled labor –, value-added-based, Levinsohn-Petrin), employment, imports by product and source country, capital/labor ratios and skill intensities of production for 1996-2007.
- 646,920 firm/year observations corresponding to 104,036 firms.
- 37,847 firms import at least once from skill-abundant countries and 25,296 import at least once from labor-abundant countries.
- average number of HS 6-digit products per firm sourced from skill-abundant (labor-abundant) countries: 10 (6).
- The vast majority of firms sources a given 6-digit product from a single location.

- 4 3 6 4 3 6

Testing predictions on sourcing patterns

 Prediction 1: more skill-abundant countries should have a comparative advantage in the production of more skill-intensive inputs and thus firms should import larger volumes.

$$\begin{split} \log(\textit{imports})_{f,p,c,t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\textit{TFP})_{f,0} + \beta_2 \textit{skillint}_p + \\ &+ \beta_3 \textit{skillint}_p * \textit{sec.schooling}_c + \beta_4 X_{c,t} + \epsilon_{f,p,c,t}, \end{split}$$

- log(*imports*)_{f,p,c,t} is the (log) import value of product p from country c by firm f in year t,
- log(*TFP*)_{f,0} is log TFP in the initial period of the sample (to mitigate endogeneity concerns),
- *skillint_p* is the skill intensity of product *p*,
- sec.schooling_c is the skill abundance (measured in terms of years of secondary schooling) of country c relative to France.
- The vector $X_{c,t}$ includes country and year fixed effects.

Testing predictions on sourcing patterns

• For importers from a specific labor-abundant (skill-abundant) country, the value of imports of relatively skill-intensive (labor-intensive) products from a given location should be larger for more productive firms. (Prediction 2, part (*i*)).

$$\begin{split} \log(\textit{imports})_{f,p,c,t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\textit{TFP})_{f,0} + \beta_2 \textit{skillint}_p + \\ &+ \beta_3 \log(\textit{TFP})_{f,0} * \textit{skillint}_p + \beta_4 X_{f,c,t} + \epsilon_{f,p,c,t} \end{split}$$

• The import value from relatively more skill-abundant (labor-abundant) locations should be larger for more productive firms offshoring to the set of labor-abundant (skill-abundant) countries (Prediction 3, part (*i*)):

$$\begin{split} \log(\textit{imports})_{f,p,c,t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\textit{TFP})_{f,0} + \beta_2 \textit{sec.schooling}_c + \\ &+ \beta_3 \log(\textit{TFP})_{f,0} * \textit{sec.schooling}_c + \beta_4 X_{f,c,t} + \epsilon_{f,p,c,t} \end{split}$$

• The vector X_{c,t} includes firm controls, country fixed effects or gravity controls and year fixed effects.

Imports from labor-abundant/skill-abundant countries: HO comparative advantage; interactions of productivity with skill intensity/skill abundance

| | | | | dependent v | ariable is log(ir | nports) _{f.p.c.t} | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| | | from lab | oor-abundant o | ountries | | | from s | kill-abundant c | ountries | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| $log(TFP)_{f,0}$ | 0.1512** | -0.1394 | -0.1340 | -0.1882*** | -0.2346*** | 0.0816*** | 1.1615*** | 1.0307*** | 0.4144*** | 0.2116*** |
| | (0.067) | (0.091) | (0.089) | (0.068) | (0.083) | (0.030) | (0.076) | (0.078) | (0.063) | (0.073) |
| skill intensity _p | -3.0102*** | -5.2876*** | -4.1948*** | | | 0.5789** | 6.6495*** | 7.1983*** | | |
| | (0.292) | (1.288) | (1.241) | | | (0.232) | (0.914) | (0.891) | | |
| skill intensity _P x | 3.9804*** | | | | | 0.3125* | | | | |
| sec. schooling _c | (0.429) | | | | | (0.162) | | | | |
| log(TFP) _{f,0} x | | 1.1276*** | 0.7246** | | | | -1.6220*** | -1.8383*** | | |
| skill intensity _p | | (0.319) | (0.312) | | | | (0.234) | (0.227) | | |
| sec. schooling _c | | | | -2.5594*** | -1.6945** | | | | 0.6183*** | 0.6308*** |
| | | | | (0.678) | (0.769) | | | | (0.178) | (0.187) |
| log(TFP) _{f,0} x | | | | 0.3765** | 0.3802* | | | | -0.2512*** | -0.2401*** |
| sec. schooling _c | | | | (0.170) | (0.197) | | | | (0.046) | (0.048) |
| log(employees) _{f,t} | | | 0.0135 | | 0.0048 | | | 0.0683*** | | 0.1060*** |
| | | | (0.030) | | (0.029) | | | (0.014) | | (0.014) |
| log(capital/labor) _{f,t} | | | 0.1035*** | | 0.1128*** | | | 0.1313*** | | 0.1524*** |
| | | | (0.032) | | (0.033) | | | (0.013) | | (0.014) |
| log(exports) _{f,t} | | | 0.2395*** | | 0.2260*** | | | 0.3517*** | | 0.3567*** |
| | | | (0.016) | | (0.016) | | | (0.006) | | (0.006) |
| Observations | 666,208 | 666,208 | 666,208 | 683,598 | 605,100 | 3,661,016 | 3,672,029 | 3,672,029 | 3,709,549 | 3,707,103 |
| Country FE | YES | YES | YES | NO | NO | YES | YES | YES | NO | NO |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Gravity Controls | NO | NO | NO | NO | YES | NO | NO | NO | NO | YES |
| Cluster | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm |
| R-squared | 0.0314 | 0.0039 | 0.0291 | 0.0120 | 0.0388 | 0.0650 | 0.0184 | 0.0659 | 0.0032 | 0.0737 |

(비) (문) (문) (문) (문) 문 (영

Testing predictions on domestic skill intensity of production

- Firms which import from labor-abundant (skill-abundant) countries are more skill intensive (labor intensive) in their domestic production compared to non-importers (Prediction 6).
- The skill intensity of domestic production should be increasing (decreasing) in import intensity from labor-abundant (skill-abundant) countries (Prediction 7).

$$\begin{split} \log(\textit{skillintensity})_{f,t} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \textit{imports labor-abundant countries}_{f,t} + \\ &+ \beta_2 \textit{imports skill-abundant countries}_{f,t} + \beta_3 X_{f,t} + \varepsilon_{f,t}, \end{split}$$

- *imports labor-abundant countries*_{f,t} is either a dummy for importing from labor-abundant countries or imports from labor-abundant countries/sales.
- *imports skill-abundant countries*_{f,t} is either a dummy for importing from skill-abundant countries or imports from skill-abundant countries/sales.
- $X_{f,t}$ includes 4-digit sector or firm FE, time FE, and firm-level controls.

| | | dep | endent variable | is log(skill inte | ensity) _{ft} | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| import status labor-abundant c.c. | 0.1996*** (0.009) | 0.2975*** (0.010) | 0.0388*** (0.004) | 0.0392*** (0.005) | | | | |
| import status | -0.0349*** | 0.0708*** | -0.0124*** | 0.0117** | | | | |
| skill-abundant c.f t | (0.006) | (0.007) | (0.003) | (0.005) | | | | |
| imports/sales labor-abundant c. _{f,t} imports/sales skill-abundant c. _{f,t} | ~ / | () | ~ / | ~ , | 0.2500*** (0.096) -0.0086 (0.006) | 0.3572*** (0.101) -0.0109** (0.009) | 0.2152** (0.099) -0.0231 (0.016) | 0.1703** (0.083) -0.0354** (0.017) |
| log(TFP) + 1 | | 0.0965*** | | -0.0484*** | () | -0.0493*** | (0.020) | -0.0101 |
| 00 77,1-1 | | (0.008) | | (0.005) | | (0.005) | | (0.014) |
| log(employees) _f | | -0.1550*** | | -0.1604*** | | -0.1603*** | | -0.2352*** |
| | | (0.003) | | (0.006) | | (0.006) | | (0.024) |
| log(capital/labor) _{f.t} | | 0.0197*** | | -0.0163*** | | -0.0163*** | | -0.0490*** |
| | | (0.003) | | (0.004) | | (0.004) | | (0.016) |
| export status _{f,t} | | 0.1002*** | | 0.0159*** | | | | |
| | | (0.006) | | (0.004) | | | | |
| $log(exports)_{f,t}$ | | | | | | 0.0076*** | | 0.0111*** |
| | | | | | | (0.001) | | (0.004) |
| Observations | 646,920 | 511,434 | 646,920 | 511,434 | 646,920 | 511,434 | 55,719 | 55,582 |
| Firms | 104,036 | 86,596 | 104,036 | 86,596 | 104,036 | 86,596 | 12,714 | 12,683 |
| Sample | all | all | all | all | all | all | importers | importers |
| Firm FE | NO | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| 4-digit sector FE | YES | YES | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Cluster | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm |
| R-squared | 0.2039 | 0.2317 | 0.0040 | 0.0113 | 0.0042 | 0.0117 | 0.0465 | 0.0615 |

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Skill intensity of production and import skill intensity

| dependent variable is $log(skill intensity)_{f,t}$ | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| | | Labor-abundar | nt countrie | 5 | | Skill-abundan | t countries | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| import | 0.3791*** | 0.2770*** | 0.0340 | 0.0415 | 0.7428*** | 0.6395*** | 0.0157 | 0.0317 |
| skill intensity _{f,t} | (0.069) | (0.067) | (0.029) | (0.029) | (0.050) | (0.047) | (0.022) | (0.022) |
| $log(TFP)_{f,t-1}$ | | 0.3088*** | | -0.0099 | | 0.1737*** | | -0.0347*** |
| | | (0.023) | | (0.015) | | (0.015) | | (0.008) |
| log(employees) _{f,t} | | -0.1978*** | | -0.2489*** | | -0.1944*** | | -0.1966*** |
| | | (0.010) | | (0.024) | | (0.006) | | (0.012) |
| log(capital/labor) _{f.t} | | -0.0576*** | | -0.0600*** | | -0.0748*** | | -0.0429*** |
| | | (0.011) | | (0.016) | | (0.007) | | (0.008) |
| log(imports) _{f.t} | | 0.0840*** | | 0.0232*** | | 0.0702*** | | 0.0110*** |
| | | (0.006) | | (0.006) | | (0.003) | | (0.002) |
| $log(exports)_{f,t}$ | | 0.0408*** | | 0.0059* | | 0.0375*** | | 0.0061*** |
| | | (0.004) | | (0.003) | | (0.002) | | (0.002) |
| Observations | 55,528 | 55,528 | 55,528 | 55,333 | 152,281 | 151,635 | 152,281 | 151,635 |
| Firms | 13,343 | 13,297 | 13,343 | 13,297 | 28,433 | 28,328 | 28,433 | 28,328 |
| Firm FE | NO | NO | YES | YES | NO | NO | YES | YES |
| 4-digit sector FE | YES | YES | NO | YES | YES | NO | NO | NO |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Cluster | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm |
| R-squared | 0.2644 | 0.3127 | 0.0419 | 0.0574 | 0.2655 | 0.3067 | 0.0151 | 0.0255 |

- We have developed a HO offshoring model with firm heterogeneity.
- Can explain intra-industry heterogeneity in firm-level skill intensity.
- Reduction in offshoring costs to labor-abundant countries leads to endogenous skill deepening.
- We provide empirical evidence on sourcing patterns and the impact of offshoring on firm-level skill demand in line with the model's prediction.
- The economic effects of offshoring on skill-upgrading are large.

Annex

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Within-sector variation in skill intensity by import status



Figure : The figure plots the distribution of the firm-level log skill intensity, defined as the ratio of employment of non-blue collar workers to blue-collar production workers per firm, according to import status.

Carluccio, Cuñat, Fadinger, Fons-Rosen

Import status and sorting by firm productivity

Table : TFP relative to sector-year average by import status

| | Non-Im | porters | Impo | orters | Impor | ters | Impo | rters |
|------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | labor-abı | undant c. | skill-abundant c. bot | | th | |
| Year | Obs | Mean | Obs | Mean | Obs | Mean | Obs | Mean |
| | | TFP | | TFP | | TFP | | TFP |
| 1996 | 30,386 | -0.036 | 690 | -0.030 | 11,889 | 0.056 | 5,317 | 0.133 |
| 1997 | 30,815 | -0.038 | 672 | -0.041 | 12,471 | 0.055 | 5,783 | 0.142 |
| 1998 | 29,296 | -0.036 | 758 | -0.041 | 12,552 | 0.046 | 6,093 | 0.129 |
| 1999 | 29,670 | -0.038 | 808 | -0.052 | 12,353 | 0.050 | 6,402 | 0.129 |
| 2000 | 28,298 | -0.035 | 833 | -0.052 | 11,980 | 0.037 | 6,766 | 0.122 |
| 2001 | 27,810 | -0.032 | 1,062 | -0.030 | 10,502 | 0.040 | 6,769 | 0.110 |
| 2002 | 29,110 | -0.031 | 1,210 | -0.014 | 10,429 | 0.039 | 7,115 | 0.109 |
| 2003 | 28,040 | 0332 | 1,290 | -0.007 | 10,051 | 0.040 | 7,163 | 0.111 |
| 2004 | 27,328 | -0.035 | 1,254 | -0.017 | 9,799 | 0.039 | 7,495 | 0.112 |
| 2005 | 26,866 | -0.035 | 1,261 | -0.020 | 9,407 | 0.038 | 7,878 | 0.107 |
| 2006 | 26,971 | -0.036 | 1.436 | -0.012 | 8,717 | 0.045 | 8,059 | 0.104 |
| 2007 | 23,658 | -0.036 | 1,257 | -0.021 | 7,503 | 0.045 | 7,818 | 0.096 |
| All | 338,248 | -0.035 | 12,531 | -0.025 | 127,653 | 0.045 | 82,658 | 0.116 |

Instrumental variables

- Comparative statics: a reduction in offshoring costs (or a positive Foreign supply shock) vis-à-vis labor-abundant countries for a *given set of products* leads to an increase in z_n⁻(γ) and (1 z_n⁺(γ)) (first stage); this impacts on domestic skill intensity (second stage).
- Supply shock instrument for firm-level imports:
 - w_{fpc0} : import share of firm f of product p from country c in the first period
 - X_{pct}: (log) export supply of product p by country c in year t (excluding France).

imp. Iab. abun._{f,t,1} =
$$\sum_{c \in I_{f,c,0}} \sum_{p \in I_{f,p,0}} w_{f,p,c,0} \times X_{p,c,0}$$

I-st stage IV regression:

 $\log(\text{imp. lab. abun.})_{f,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{imp. lab. abund.}_{f,t,1} + \alpha_2 \text{imp. skill abund.}_{f,t,1} + \alpha_3 X_{f,t} + u_{f,t} + u_{f,$

- X_{f,t} includes firm and time FE (and firm-specific controls).
- 2-nd stage IV regression:

 $\log(\textit{skillintensity})_{f,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{imp. } \widehat{\text{lab. abund.}_{f,t}} + \beta_2 \text{imp. } \widehat{\text{skill abund.}_{f,t}} + \beta_3 X_{f,t} + \epsilon_{f,t}$

Skill intensity of production and importing – IV estimates

| First Stage | Dep. var.: i | mports/sales | Dep. var.: | imports/sales | Second Stage | Dep. var.: | log(skill intensity) _{f,t} |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|
| | labor-abu | ndant c. _{f,t} | skill-abu | ndant c. _{f,t} | | | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | (5) | (6) |
| IV Supply Shock | 0.0026*** | 0.0023*** | 0.0027* | 0.0023 | imports/sales | 5.5135** | 5.0714* |
| labor-abundant c.f,t | (0.0008) | (0.0008) | (0.0016) | (0.0016) | labor-abundant c.f.t | (2.760) | (2.671) |
| IV Supply Shock | -0.0053* | -0.0050* | 0.0066** | 0.0064** | imports/sales | -4.2816* | -3.6571 |
| skill-abundant c. _{f,t} | (0.0029) | (0.0029) | (0.0027) | (0.0027) | skill-abundant c. _{f,t} | (2.376) | (2.401) |
| $log(TFP)_{f,t-1}$ | | -0.0005 | | -0.0034 | $log(TFP)_{f,t-1}$ | | -0.0193 |
| | | (0.0022) | | (0.0027) | | | (0.020) |
| log(employees) _{f,t} | | -0.0250*** | | -0.0163*** | log(employees) _{f,t} | | -0.1613* |
| | | (0.0032) | | (0.0058) | | | (0.087) |
| log(capital/labor) _{f,t} | | -0.0028 | | 0.0042 | log(capital/labor) _{f,t} | | -0.0186 |
| | | (0.0026) | | (0.0028) | | | (0.026) |
| log(exports) _{f,t} | | 0.0084*** | | 0.0094*** | $log(exports)_{f,t}$ | | 0.0044 |
| | | (0.0006) | | (0.0008) | | | (0.034) |
| F-statistic (Angrist-Pischke) | 15.32 | 13.28 | 11.57 | 9.26 | | | |
| Observations | 52,766 | 52,637 | 52,766 | 52,637 | | 52,766 | 52,637 |
| Firms | 9,761 | 9,738 | 9,761 | 9,738 | | 9,761 | 9,738 |
| Sample | importers | importers | importers | importers | | importers | importers |
| Firm FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | | YES | YES |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | | YES | YES |
| Cluster | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | | Firm | Firm |

- Average firm-level skill intensity for importers from both sets of countries increased by 0.86 log points between 1996 and 2007
- Import intensity from labor-abundant countries increased from 0.053 to 0.07.
- Import intensity from skill-abundant countries stayed constant at 0.14.
- Predicted impact of changes in import intensity from labor-abundant countries: 0.93 log points (5.51*(0.07-0.053)=0.093).
- Firm-level skill intensity should increase in the skill intensity of imports from labor-abundant (skill-abundant) countries (Prediction 8).

 $\log(skillintensity)_{f,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 import \ skill \ intensity_{f,t} + \beta_2 X_{f,t} + \epsilon_{f,t}$

Skill intensity of production and import skill intensity: IV estimates

- Comparative statics: a reduction in offshoring costs vis-à-vis labor-abundant countries leads to an increase in $z_n^-(\gamma)$ and this increases the skill intensity of imports from labor-abundant countries (first stage). The increase in the skill intensity of imports increases the skill intensity of domestic production (second stage).
 - We regress the log value of imports of product *i* by firm *f* from country *c* on log(*tariff_{pct}*) and firm-, product-, and country-fixed effects.

$$\log(\textit{imports})_{f,p,c,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\textit{tariff}_{p,c,t}) + \delta_f + \delta_p + \delta_c + \epsilon_{f,p,c,t}$$

• Obtain predicted import values, explained by firm-, product-, country-means and tariffs and sum across countries to obtain a firm-product-time-specific weight:

$$\hat{w}_{f,p,t} = \frac{\sum_{c} impor\hat{t}_{f,p,c,t}}{\sum_{p} \sum_{c} impor\hat{t}_{f,p,c,t}}$$

• Multiply these weights with product-specific skill intensities and sum over products to obtain the predicted skill content of imports.

$$skill$$
 intensity_{f,t,1} = $\sum_{p \in I_{f,p,t}} skillint_p \times \hat{w}_{f,p,t}$.

Supply shock instrument for the skill content of imports: similarly constructed. science.com

| dependen | t variable is log(sk | ill intensity) _{f,t} | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | (.) | IV Est | imates | (.) |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| import | 0.4184* | 0.4046** | 0.4801*** | 0.4731** |
| skill intensity _{f,t} | (0.185) | (0.183) | (0.187) | (0.185) |
| $\log(\text{TFP})_{f,t-1}$ | | -0.0158 | | -0.0159 |
| | | (0.016) | | (0.016) |
| log(employees) _{f,t} | | -0.2596*** | | -0.2605*** |
| | | (0.027) | | (0.027) |
| log(capital/labor) _{f,t} | | -0.0595*** | | -0.0596*** |
| | | (0.018) | | (0.018) |
| $log(imports)_{f,t}$ | | 0.0286*** | | 0.0285*** |
| | | (0.006) | | (0.006) |
| $log(exports)_{f,t}$ | | 0.0060 | | 0.0060 |
| , . | | (0.004) | | (0.004) |
| Observations | 46,063 | 45,903 | 46,015 | 45,857 |
| Firms | 8,854 | 8,824 | 8,847 | 8,818 |
| Firm FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Cluster | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm |
| R-squared | 0.0390 | 0.0560 | 0.0394 | 0.0564 |
| First-stage regression: d | lependent variable | is skill content | of imports _{f.t} | |
| tariff predicted skill intensity _{f.t} | 0.3806*** | 0.3797*** | 0.3802*** | 0.793*** |
| - ,- | (0.019) | (0.019) | (0.019) | (0.019) |
| supply-shock predicted skill intensity _f | t | | 0.0010*** | 0.0017*** |
| , | | | (0.0006) | (0.0005) |
| F-statistic | 420.43 | 415.74 | 215.05 | 212.27 |
| Hansen J statistic (p-value) | n.a. | n.a. | 0.99 | 0.34 |
| uccio. Cuñat. Fadinger. Fons-Rosen | Offshoring | | _26 | une 20172 |

- Multi-country model of offshoring with heterogeneous firms in a Heckscher-Ohlin environment.
- Firms must decide whether to offshore or not; which range of inputs to offshore; and to which countries to offshore.
- There are many countries, denoted with $n \in N$.
- Countries are endowed with exogenous amounts of two factors, skilled labor ("skills") H_n and unskilled labor ("labor") L_n, which are inelastically supplied.
- We label countries so that a higher n corresponds to a higher relative skill endowment H_n/L_n: H₁/L₁ < H₂/L₂ < ... < H_N/L_N < 1.

- There is one final-good industry.
- Consumers in country *n* derive utility from a Dixit-Stiglitz aggregate of final-good varieties:

$$C_n = \left[\int_{\omega\in\Omega_n} c_n(\omega)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} d\omega\right]^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$$

- Each firm produces a different variety of the final good, over which it has monopoly power.
- Varieties of final goods are made by assembling a continuum of intermediate inputs:

$$q_{n}\left(\gamma\right)=\gamma\left[\int_{0}^{1}x_{n}(z)^{rac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}dz
ight]^{rac{\varepsilon}{arepsilon-1}}$$
 ,

where 1 < ϵ < σ

γ is a firm-specific productivity level, random and i.i.d. across firms within a country, and drawn from a distribution G(γ) identical across countries.

$$y_{n',n}(z) = rac{Z(z)}{\tau} h_{n',n}(z)^{z} l_{n',n}(z)^{1-z}$$
,

- Skill intensities are increasing in z.
- τ ∈ {1, τ^o_{n',n}}: it takes value one if the firm produces the intermediate input in-house and value τ^o_{n',n} > 1 if the input is sourced outside the firm.
- The variable outsourcing cost can be interpreted as a trade friction or as a cost or productivity disadvantage due to the outsourcing process.
- Outsourcing of each intermediate input is subject to a fixed cost f^o in terms of the final good.

- Factor and intermediate-input markets are perfectly competitive.
- Varieties of final goods are freely traded.
- Since we assume no fixed cost of exporting, all firms operate in the domestic and in all foreign markets.
- For each intermediate z, firms located in country n decide whether and to which locations n' to offshore production.
- We assume that $w_{h1}/w_{l1} > w_{h2}/w_{l2} > ... > w_{hN}/w_{lN} \ge 1$ (holds in general equilibrium).

Minimum-cost sourcing in an N-country world

 Inputs are priced at marginal costs. The price of inputs produced in country n' for use by firms in country n is

$$p_{n',n}(z) = \tau^{o}_{n',n} w^{z}_{hn'} w^{1-z}_{ln'}$$

• The price of inputs produced in house is

$$p_{n,n}\left(z\right)=w_{hn}^{z}w_{ln}^{1-z}$$

- We plot the logarithms of these cost functions against z, (We set N = 5 and consider the offshoring decision from the perspective of a firm from country n = 3.)
- The lower envelope represents the lowest marginal costs at which country-*n* firms can obtain the different intermediate inputs.
- Cost reductions from offshoring are largest when inputs with extreme factor intensities are offshored to locations with extreme factor-price ratios.
- Cutoff conditions defining the minimum-cost sourcing decisions:

$$\tau^{o}_{n'-1,n} w^{z_{n'-1,n'}}_{hn'-1} w^{1-z_{n'-1,n'}}_{ln'-1} = \tau^{o}_{n',n} w^{z_{n'-1,n'}}_{hn'} w^{1-z_{n'-1,n'}}_{ln'}.$$

Minimum-cost sourcing decisions for country-3 firms



Offshoring decision with offshoring fixed costs

- In the absence of f^o, all firms in country n would import the range [0, z_{n-1,n}) from labor-abundant countries, of which [0, z_{1,2}) from country 1, [z_{1,2}, z_{2,3}) from country 2, etc.
- With $f^o > 0$ the offshoring decision depends on productivity γ .
- Let $z_n^-(\gamma)$ be the most skill-intensive input a country-*n* firm offshores to any country that is more labor abundant than *n*.
- Let z_n⁺(γ) be the most labor-intensive input offshored to any country that is more skill abundant than n.
- The range $(z_n^-(\gamma), z_n^+(\gamma))$ is produced in-house by the country-*n* firm.
- The cost function of any given country-*n* firm depends on its offshoring pattern.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{MC}_{n}\left(\gamma,z_{n}^{-},z_{n}^{+}\right) &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\sum_{n'=1}^{n^{-1}} \int_{z_{n'-1,n'}}^{z_{n',n'+1}} \left(\tau_{n',n}^{o}w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}-1,n^{-}}}^{z_{n^{-}}} \left(\tau_{n^{-},n}^{o}w_{hn^{-}}^{z}w_{hn^{-}}^{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}}}^{z_{n^{+}}^{+}} \left(w_{hn}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}}}^{z_{n^{+}}^{+}} \left(w_{hn}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}}}^{z_{n^{+},n'+1}} \left(\tau_{n',n}^{o}w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}}}^{z_{n',n'+1}} \left(\tau_{n',n}^{o}w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}}}^{z_{n^{-},n'}} \left(w_{hn}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{+}}}^{z_{n^{+},n'+1}} \left(\tau_{n',n}^{o}w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}}}^{z_{n^{-},n'}} \left(w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{+}}}^{z_{n^{-},n'}} \left(w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}}}^{z_{n^{-},n'}} \left(w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{+}}}^{z_{n^{-},n'}} \left(w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{+}}}^{z_{n^{-},n'}} \left(w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{+}}}^{z_{n^{+},n'}} \left(w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} dz + \int_{z_{n^{+}}}^{z_{n^{+},n'}} \left(w_{hn'}^{z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1-z}w_{hn'}^{1$$

Carluccio, Cuñat, Fadinger, Fons-Rosen

Offshoring decision

• Offshoring problem:

s o O

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\substack{p, z_n^-, (1-z_n^+)}} p_n q_n - \left[MC_n \left(\gamma, z_n^-, z_n^+ \right) \right] q_3 - \left[z_n^- + \left(1 - z_n^+ \right) \right] P f^o. \end{aligned}$$
i.t.
$$q_n(\gamma) = \frac{p_n(\gamma)^{-\sigma}}{P^{1-\sigma}} E_W$$
ptimal pricing:

$$p_{n}(\gamma) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} M C_{n}(\gamma) \, .$$

• First-order condition with respect to z_n^- :

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_n}{\partial z_n^-} = \frac{\partial \pi_n}{\partial z_n^-} - Pf^o = -\frac{\partial MC_n}{\partial z_n^-} \left(\frac{p_n^{-\sigma}}{P^{1-\sigma}} E_W\right) - Pf^o \le 0,$$

with π_n increasing and concave in z_n⁻, reflecting smaller cost gains from offshoring relatively more skill-intensive inputs to labor-abundant locations.
First-order condition with respect to (1 - z_n⁺):

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_n}{\partial \left(1-z_n^+\right)} = \frac{\partial \pi_n}{\partial \left(1-z_n^+\right)} - Pf^o = -\frac{\partial MC_n}{\partial \left(1-z_n^+\right)} \left(\frac{p_n^{-\sigma}}{P^{1-\sigma}} E_W\right) - Pf^o \le 0,$$

with π_n increasing and concave in $1 - z_n^+$, reflecting smaller cost gains from offshoring to relatively more labor-intensive inputs to skill-abundant locations.

• One can prove that $\partial z_n^-(\gamma) / \partial \gamma \ge 0$ and $\partial \left(1 - z_n^+(\gamma)\right) / \partial \gamma \ge 0$ if $\sigma \ge \varepsilon > 1$

Predictions: offshoring patterns

• Conditional on offshoring, the log import value of a given intermediate z is given by:

$$\begin{split} \log(p_{n',n}(z)x_{n',n}(z)) &= \Delta + (1-\varepsilon)\log(\tau^o_{n',n}) + (1-\varepsilon)\log(w_{ln'}) + \\ &+ (1-\varepsilon)z\log(w_{hn'}/w_{ln'}) + (\sigma-1)\log(\gamma) + (\varepsilon-\sigma)\log[\gamma MC_n\left(z^-_n(\gamma), z^+_n(\gamma)\right)] \end{split}$$

- **Prediction 1**: More skill-abundant countries have a comparative advantage in producing inputs with higher skill intensity. The import value of more skill-intensive inputs is thus larger when sourced from more skill-abundant countries.
- z_n⁻(γ), increases in γ. Thus, for importers from a specific labor-abundant country n', the value of imports of relatively skill-intensive products will be larger for more productive firms, since import values are positive for z ∈ [z_{n'-1,n'}, min{z_{n',n'+1}, z_n⁻(γ)}].
- Prediction 2: (i) Holding constant a given labor-abundant (skill-abundant) source country, the import value of relatively skill-intensive (labor-intensive) inputs will be larger for more productive firms. (ii) In addition, more productive offshoring firms have more variation in the skill intensity of their imported goods from a given

country.

(日) (周) (三) (三)

- Consider two firms with productivity levels γ₁ < γ₂ sourcing from the set of labor-abundant countries. Import values from relatively more skill-abundant countries are larger for more productive firms, since they are positive for z ∈ [0, min{z_{n',n'+1}, z_n⁻(γ)}].
- **Prediction 3**: (i) The import value from relatively more skill-abundant (labor-abundant) locations will be larger for more productive firms offshoring to the set of labor-abundant (skill-abundant) countries. (ii) Moreover, more productive offshoring firms have more variation in the skill abundance of countries from which they import.

Predictions: domestic skill intensity

• The skill intensity of domestic production of a firm located in country *n* is:

$$\frac{\int_{z_{n}^{-}(\gamma)}^{z_{n}^{+}(\gamma)}h_{n,n}(z)\,dz}{\int_{z_{n}^{-}(\gamma)}^{z_{n}^{+}(\gamma)}I_{n,n}(z)\,dz} = \frac{w_{ln}}{w_{hn}}\frac{\int_{z_{n}^{-}(\gamma)}^{z_{n}^{+}(\gamma)}z\left(w_{hn}^{z}w_{ln}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\,dz}{\int_{z_{n}^{-}(\gamma)}^{z_{n}^{+}(\gamma)}(1-z)\left(w_{hn}^{z}w_{ln}^{1-z}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\,dz} = \frac{w_{ln}}{w_{hn}}\Delta.$$
(1)

with $\partial \Delta / \partial z_n^- > 0$ and $\partial \Delta / \partial \left(1 - z_n^+\right) < 0$.

- Prediction 4: Given heterogeneity in firm-level productivity γ, there is variation in skill intensity within sectors.
- Given that $z_n^-(\gamma) = 0$ and $z_n^+(\gamma) = 1$ for all $\gamma < \gamma_n^o$:
- Prediction 5: The variation in skill intensity of domestic production is larger across offshoring firms than across firms that source all inputs domestically.
- Given that $\partial \Delta / \partial z_n^- > 0$ and $\partial \Delta / \partial (1 z_n^+) < 0$ it follows that:
- Prediction 6: Offshoring to labor-abundant countries raises the skill intensity of domestic production, while offshoring to skill-abundant countries reduces it.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Predictions: domestic skill intensity

• A country-*n* firm's import intensity from labor-abundant countries is given by

$$\mathsf{Imports/variable\ costs}_{f,t} = \frac{\left[\sum_{n'=1}^{n^{-}-1} \int_{z_{n'-1,n}}^{z_{n',n'+1}} p_{n',n}^{1-\varepsilon}(z) \, dz + \int_{z_{n^{-}-1,n^{-}}}^{z_{n}^{-}(\gamma)} p_{n^{-},n}^{1-\varepsilon}(z) \, dz\right]}{\gamma^{1-\varepsilon} \mathcal{M} C_{n}^{1-\varepsilon}}$$

which increases in $z_n^-(\gamma)$. Similarly, the import intensity from skill-abundant countries increases in $1 - z_n^+(\gamma)$. The skill intensity of domestic production increases in $z_n^-(\gamma)$ and decreases in $1 - z_n^+(\gamma)$. It thus follows that:

- **Prediction 7** The skill-intensity of domestic production is increasing (decreasing) in import intensity from labor-abundant (skill-abundant) countries.
- Consider the import-share-weighted skill intensity of imports from labor-abundant (skill-abundant) countries. One can show:
- **Prediction 8**: The skill intensity of domestic production increases in the skill intensity of imports (from labor- and skill-abundant countries).

(日) (周) (三) (三)

- More productive importers should have a larger variation in the skill intensity of imported products from a given source country (Prediction 2, part (*ii*)).
- We compute the firm-level standard deviation of skill intensity of imports by source country:

dispersion_{f,c} = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(TFP)_f + \beta_2 X_{f,c} + \epsilon_{f,c}$.

- More productive firms should have more variation in the skill abundance of their source countries (Prediction 3, part (*ii*)).
- We compute the firm-level standard deviation of skill abundance of source countries:

dispersion_f =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(TFP)_f + \beta_2 X_f + \epsilon_f$$
.

Dispersion of skill intensity of imported products/skill abundance of sourcing locations and productivity

| | dependent variable is standard deviation of | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| | product skil | l-intensity _{f,c} | country skill | -abundance _f | product skil | l-intensity _{f,c} | country skill | -abundance _f | |
| | of imp | orts from labo | r-abundant co | untries | of imp | oorts from skil | l-abundant co | untries | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | |
| log(TFP) _f | 0.0179*** | 0.0111*** | 0.0407*** | 0.0444*** | 0.0083*** | 0.0051*** | 0.0313*** | 0.0178** | |
| | (0.000) | (0.001) | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.007) | (0.007) | |
| log(employees) _f | | 0.0034*** | | 0.0012 | | 0.0035*** | | -0.0196*** | |
| | | (0.000) | | (0.005) | | (0.000) | | (0.003) | |
| log(capital/labor) _f | | -0.0012*** | | -0.0088 | | 0.0027*** | | -0.0076** | |
| | | (0.000) | | (0.006) | | (0.000) | | (0.004) | |
| log(exports) _f | | 0.0025*** | | -0.0135*** | | 0.0005*** | | -0.0147*** | |
| | | (0.000) | | (0.003) | | (0.000) | | (0.002) | |
| log(# products) _{f.c} | 0.0125*** | 0.0100*** | | | 0.0114*** | 0.0083*** | | | |
| | (0.000) | (0.001) | | | (0.000) | (0.001) | | | |
| log(# countries) _f | | | 0.2628*** | 0.2763*** | | | 0.2296*** | 0.2703*** | |
| | | | (0.007) | (0.008) | | | (0.007) | (0.009) | |
| Observations | 48,469 | 48,469 | 14,573 | 14,573 | 149,719 | 149,719 | 31,218 | 31,218 | |
| R-squared | 0.0794 | 0.1000 | 0.0827 | 0.0839 | 0.0763 | 0.0864 | 0.0575 | 0.0613 | |
| Country FE | NO | YES | NO | NO | NO | YES | NO | NO | |
| Robust | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | |

Extra predictions on sourcing patterns: complementarities

• **Prediction 9**: Holding firm-level productivity constant, offshoring firms sourcing from a more labor-abundant set of labor-abundant countries import a larger volume from any given source country. Similarly, holding firm-level productivity constant, offshoring firms sourcing from a more skill-abundant set of skill-abundant countries import a larger volume from any given source country.

$$\begin{split} \log(\textit{imports})_{f,p,c,t} = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\textit{TFP})_{f,0} + \beta_2 \textit{skillint}_p + \\ & + \beta_3 \textit{skillint other products}_{f,p,t} + \beta_5 X_{f,c,t} + \epsilon_{f,p,c,t}, \end{split}$$

• Prediction 10: Holding firm-level productivity constant, offshoring firms importing a more labor-intensive set of labor-intensive products import a larger volume from any given source country. Similarly, holding firm-level productivity constant, offshoring firms importing a more skill-intensive set of skill-intensive products import a larger volume from any given source country.

 $log(imports)_{f,p,c,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 log(TFP)_{f,0} + \beta_2 sec.schooling_c + \beta_2 sec.scho$

 $+\beta_3$ sec. schooling other countries_{f,c,t} + \beta_5 X_{f,c,t} + \epsilon_{f,p,c,t},

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

| | | | dependent v | ariable is log(in | nports) f n c t | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | from labor-abu | ndant countrie | s | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | from skill-abur | ndant countries | |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| skill intensity | -2.2522*** | -2.9925*** | | | 2.6716*** | 1.9826*** | | |
| other products _{f,p,t} | (0.550) | (0.303) | 1 0/1/*** | 1 3503*** | (0.240) | (0.240) | 0 2010*** | 0 3577*** |
| other countries _{f.c.t} | | | (0.141) | (0.142) | | | (0.081) | (0.079) |
| skill intensity | -0.0035 | -0.3986** | | | -0.0201 | -0.1417 | | |
| , | (0.239) | (0.193) | | | (0.113) | (0.096) | | |
| sec. schooling _c | | | -1.0610*** (0.120) | -0.1442 (0.106) | | | -0.9251*** (0.059) | -1.1082*** (0.256) |
| $log(TFP)_{f,0}$ | 0.1659* (0.088) | 0.0499 (0.088) | 0.0289 | -0.0619 (0.077) | 0.6186*** (0.045) | 0.4135*** (0.044) | 0.5800*** (0.055) | 0.4506*** (0.054) |
| $\log(employees)_{f,t}$ | () | 0.0864*** (0.029) | · · / | 0.0784** (0.037) | () | 0.1729*** (0.015) | () | 0.0877*** (0.018) |
| $\log({\sf capital}/{\sf labor})_{f,t}$ | | 0.0501 | | 0.0522 | | 0.1098*** | | 0.1451*** |
| $log(exports)_{f,t}$ | | 0.2423*** | | 0.2478*** | | 0.3802*** | | 0.3801*** |
| | | (0.017) | | (0.023) | | (0.009) | | (0.010) |
| <pre># products_{f,t}</pre> | -0.0036* | -0.0051** | | | -0.0022*** | -0.0048*** | | |
| | (0.002) | (0.002) | | | (0.000) | (0.000) | | |
| # countries _{f,t} | | | -0.0160* | -0.0300*** | | | 0.0323*** | -0.0372*** |
| | | | (0.008) | (0.009) | | | (0.010) | (0.012) |
| Observations | 430,635 | 430,635 | 427,815 | 375,152 | 1,327,313 | 1,327,313 | 1,250,216 | 1,246,583 |
| Country FE | YES | YES | NO | NO | YES | YES | NO | NO |
| Time FE | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Cluster | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm | Firm |
| R-squared | 0.0118 | 0.0415 | 0.0176 | 0.0477 | 0.0961 | 0.0961 | 0.0234 | 0.0802 |

Carluccio, Cuñat, Fadinger, Fons-Rosen

э

Image: A math a math

| Variable | Mean | Std. Dev. | 5th Pct. | 95th Pct. | Obs. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | |
| Skill ratio | 1.18 | 4.50 | 0.14 | 3.50 | 646,920 |
| Employees | 53.51 | 336.58 | 3.00 | 174.00 | 646,920 |
| (log) TFP | 3.83 | 0.46 | 3.05 | 4.56 | 646,920 |
| (log) Capital/labor | 3.25 | 0.99 | 1.52 | 4.83 | 646,920 |
| Imports (in 1000 euros) | 1,908 | 24,403 | 0.0 | 4,047 | 646,920 |
| Exports (in 1000 euros) | 1,375 | 26,606 | 0.0 | 3,030 | 646,920 |
| Number of products imported (all origins) | 5.36 | 16.72 | 0.00 | 29.00 | 646,920 |
| Number of products imported from skill-abundant countries | 10.07 | 19.02 | 1.00 | 39.00 | 182,239 |
| Number of products imported from labor-abundant countries | 6.11 | 11.59 | 1.00 | 24.00 | 96,039 |
| Number of countries per firm-product (all origins) | 1.74 | 1.11 | 1.00 | 3.74 | 224,039 |
| Number of countries per firm-product (skill-abundant countries) | 1.21 | 0.39 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 182,239 |
| Number of countries per firm-product (labor-abundant countries) | 1.35 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 2.61 | 96,039 |

(日) (日) (日) (日)

э

- Trade and skill upgrading:
 - Heterogeneous firms and exogenous skill intensity: Burstein and Vogel (2016)
 - Assortative matching: Helpman et al. (2010,2015)
 - Exporting and skill upgrading: Verhoogen (2011), Bustos (2012)
- Offshoring and skill demand (theory):
 - Homogeneous-firm HO model: Feenstra and Hanson (1997)
 - Complementarities between domestic and foreign tasks: Grossman and Rossi-Hansberg (2008)
- Offshoring and skill demand (empirics):
 - Wages of Danish/French workers: Hummels et al. (2013), Carluccio et al. (2015)
- Multi-country sourcing models:
 - Antras et al. (2014)