OECD Global Network on Privatisation and Corporate Governance of State-Owned Enterprises

Priorities for SOE governance reforms in Asia

Paris, France 2 - 3 March 2010

Presented by John Lim
Chair of the Asia SOE Network
Chairman, Singapore Institute of Directors



The Objectives of the Network on Corporate Governance of SOEs in Asia are:

- To raise awareness of the importance and challenges related to good corporate governance of SOEs
- To evaluate SOE corporate governance policy frameworks and practices, and benchmark these against international good practices



-3

- To influence policy making by providing a forum in which policy makers, practitioners and experts can share knowledge and experiences
- To support effective reforms by assessing policy options, developing recommendations and agreeing on priorities for reforms adapted to the conditions in Asian economies

Effective implementation of impactful reforms is a clear priority



4

- Using the OECD guidelines the network has come up with a policy brief covering various recommendations
- Policy brief is a consesus document resulting from its deliberations over its 4 meetings



- This policy brief will form important basis for network's future work
- Network will focus on identifying reform priorities for individual countries and monitoring of their implementation



Recommendations

OECD Guideline 1

- Ensuring an effective legal and regulatory framework for State-Owned Enterprises

R1.1 – Complete the corporatisation process and harmonise SOEs' legal status within each economy

R1.2 – Clarify and ensure effective separation between the ownership function and regulation



R1.3 – Make specific obligations and related costs transparent

R1.4 – Ensure arm's length relationships between SOEs, state-owned banks and financial institutions

R1.5 – Promote a public debate on the corporate governance of SOEs



- The State acting as an owner
- R2.1 Rationalise the state owned sector
- R2.2 Asian governments should develop and disclose an ownership policy
- R2.3 Ensure visibility, strength and consistency in the exercise of the ownership function, through centralisation or effective coordination between the different ownership entities



- R2.4 Avoid interference in SOE management
- R2.5 Develop structured and transparent board nomination process for SOE boards
- R2.6 Ensure appropriate accountability of the ownership function



- Equitable treatment of shareholders
- R3.1 Reinforce provisions protecting the rights of minority shareholders in relevant laws and regulations
- R3.2 Increase the independence of SOE boards and improve the transparency of their nomination process



R3.3 – Reinforce minority shareholders' capacity to obtain effective redress for the violation of their rights

R3.4 – Support the development of minority shareholders associations



Relations with stakeholders

R4.1 – SOEs should reassess their stakeholder engagements and develop a strategic approach vis-à-vis stakeholder relations

R4.2 – Mechanisms could be developed to allow and encourage stakeholders to exercise their voice

R4.3 – Ownership entities should encourage SOEs to report on stakeholder relations



- Transparency and disclosure
- R5.1 Clarify SOE objectives and make noncommercial ones explicit
- R5.2 Cover the costs of non-commercial objectives by the state budget
- R5.3 Build up and publish relevant performance indicators



- R5.4 Require large SOEs to be as transparent as listed companies
- R5.5 Ensure that SOEs are subject to a robust audit system
- R5.6 Actively monitor and benchmark SOE performance
- R5.7 Publish aggregate reports on state ownership



- The responsibilities of boards of SOEs
- R6.1 Clarify and reinforce SOE board mandates and functions
- R6.2 Ensure that SOE boards are actively engaged in shaping the strategy
- R6.3 Require SOE boards to have nomination committees



- R6.4 Enhance SOE boards' role in talent management and in setting remuneration
- R6.5 Increase competencies in SOE boards
- R6.6 Provide proper training for SOE board members, including induction training
- R6.7 Develop performance evaluation for SOE boards



Examples of priorities identified by individual countries:

Bhutan – Avoid government interference with SOE management (R2.4); clarify and reinforce SOE board mandates and functions (R6.1); develop performance evaluation for SOE boards (R6.7)

Chinese Taipei – Develop structured and transparent board nomination process for SOE boards (R2.5); increase competencies in SOE boards (R6.5) – including through greater reliance on independent directors (the participant stressed that these objectives relate to listed SOEs).



India (State of Kerala) – Separation between the ownership function and regulation (R1.2); develop structured and transparent board nomination process for SOE boards (R2.5); clarify and reinforce SOE board mandates and functions (R6.1); provide proper training for SOE board members (R6.6)

Indonesia – Separation between the ownership function and regulation (R1.2); avoid government interference with SOE management (R2.4)



Pakistan – Increase competencies in SOE boards (R6.5); provide proper training for SOE board members (R6.6); develop performance evaluation for SOE boards (R6.7)



PR China – Complete the corporation process (R1.1); separation between the ownership function and regulation (R1.2); make specific obligations and related costs transparent (R1.3); develop and disclose an ownership policy (R2.2); ensure visibility, strength and consistency in the exercise of the ownership function (R2.3); avoid government interference with SOE management (R2.4); clarify SOE objectives and make non-commercial ones explicit (R5.1); cover the costs of non-commercial objectives by the state budget (R5.2); build up and public relevant performance indicators (R5.3); ensure that SOEs are subject to a robust audit system (R5.5); clarify and reinforce SOE board mandates and functions (R6.1); enhance SOE boards' role in talent management and setting remunerations (R6.4); increase competencies in SOE boards (R6.5)



Philippines – Ensure visibility, strength and consistency in the exercise of the ownership function (R2.3); monitor and benchmark SOE performance (R5.6); increase competencies in SOE boards (R6.5); provide proper training for SOE board members (R6.6); develop performance evaluation for SOE boards (R6.7)

Singapore – Develop performance evaluation for all SOE boards (R6.7);



22

Thailand – Increase competencies in SOE boards (R6.5); provide proper training for SOE board members (R6.6); develop performance evaluation for SOE boards (R6.7)

Vietnam – Avoid interference in SOE management (R2.4); develop structured and transparent board nomination process for SOE boards (R2.5); develop performance evaluation for SOE boards (R6.7). (The Vietnamese participant further mentioned a need to continue an ongoing effort to list SOEs on stock exchanges and eventually privatise them).



Future Directions

- Successful implementation of priority reforms is key future outcome for the network
- Future efforts will focus on:
 - (i) developing benchmarks
 - (ii) monitoring efforts and
 - (iii) reporting progress



- Network will collate group successes and experiences to help individual national efforts
- Network will encourage direct contacts between members to share knowledge and experiences and provide support



Thank you

Singapore Institute of Directors 3 Shenton Way #15-08 Shenton House Singapore 068805

Tel: 6227 2838 Fax: 6227 9186

www.sid.org.sg

