


Corrigenda

Page 39:

In the **graphic**, the data for Hungary, Mexico and New Zealand have been corrected. See the corrected graphic below:



Page 52:

The beginning of the **Comparability** section has been revised to remove the mention of Turkey:

Comparisons of income and productivity levels across countries require several demanding conditions. First, they require comparable data on output. All OECD countries have implemented the 1993 System of National Accounts. However, there are differences, such as the measurement of software investment, that can affect the comparability of GDP across countries, although these differences are usually quite small.

Page 52:

The last paragraph of the **Overview** section has been revised to remove the mention of the Czech Republic:

In several non-EU countries, such as Canada, Iceland, Japan, Korea, New Zealand and Switzerland, labour utilisation in 2007 was higher than in the United States, notably in Iceland, and Korea, mainly owing to relatively long working hours and high rates of labour force participation.

Page 54:

The first paragraph of the **Comparability** section has been revised to remove the mention of Turkey:

All OECD countries follow the 1993 System of National Accounts. Hours worked correspond to actual hours worked, although methods to derive actual hours worked may vary somewhat between countries.

Page 67:

In the table **Real value added in services**, the 1994 and 1995 data for Brazil should read 4.0 and 3.2 respectively instead of .. and 74.8.


In the graph **Real value added in services**, the value indicated by the “3-year average at beginning of period” diamond for Brazil should indicate 3.1 instead of 22.4.

Page 158:


The title of the graphic “**Labour compensation per employee, total economy**” should instead read “**Labour compensation per hour, total economy**”.

Page 279:


In the **three graphics**, the data for Japan have been corrected. See the corrected graphics below:



Average income of the bottom decile



Average income of the top decile



Page 299:

In the graphic **Gini index of regional GDP per capita and share of the population in regions with low GDP per capita, small regions**, the vertical axis should read “Percentage of population in regions under the national median GDP per capita” instead of “Percentage of population in regions under the regional median GDP per capita”