

Aid for Trade - Prioritization of reforms and role of complementary policies

The French Strategy on Aid For Trade A case study of the Senegalese SME Upgrading Program OECD — Paris, 28 mars 2011

Jean-René Cuzon - *Chargé de Mission "Commerce et Développement"* Ian Parizot - *Chargé d'Affaires "Secteur Financier et Appui au Secteur Privé "*



développeur d'avenirs durables

Part I

Prioritization of reforms and role of complementary policies : The French Strategy on Aid for Trade



The French Strategy on Aid for Trade

- With the adoption of the European Strategy on Aid for Trade (2007) ⇒ Need of revision of the 1st French « Aid for Trade » Action Plan (2002) ⇒ New strategic framework for French Aid for Trade (endorsed in June 2009):
 - In order to fulfil EU commitments, definition of quantitative objectives: from 2010 onwards, average of 150 M.EUR a year for trade-related assistance + 850 M.EUR a year for overall Aid for Trade
 - A focus on regional integration, with 2 priority areas of action
 - Regional targeting (SSA, Med. Region + zones of RI)



The two priority areas of action of the French Strategy

1. Developing regional trade policies connected to international markets by:

- defining and implementing regional and national trade policies
- structuring regional professional stakeholders
- implementing a standards and quality policy that complies to international and regional market requirements
- managing the risks in markets for strategic products, including in terms of food security

2. Developing a competitive offer in local, regional and international markets by:

- strengthening productive capacities (including those of actors in the informal sector, and in micro-/very small enterprises)
- developing and organizing value chains
- financing infrastructures with a regional dimension



Why this focus on RI and national/regional markets? (1/2)

1. Potential of South-South trade often neglected (emerging economy & regional integration)

2. National/regional markets are easier to reach

3. National/regional markets are more inclusive



Why this focus on RI and national/regional markets? (2/2)

4. National/regional markets = new opportunity of business, with:

- Growing population + fast urbanization
- New demands with the emergence of a middle class
 - Ex. in the agricultural/food sector : demand for more quality + changes in the diet (« nutritional transition ») + bigger demand of processed food (« ready to cook »)
- New strategies for commercialization
 (emergence of supermarket in Africa, « regional hub/factory » to supply the regional market, etc.)
- Progress in Regional Integration (FTA, Customs Union)
 ⇒ more interesting for the private sector (if free movement of goods is effective...)



Examples of complementary policies needed to improve regional markets

- 1. Priority 1: In order to satisfy growing national/regional demand, need to increase the level of productions in Agriculture and Industry, with more investments in these sectors, an improved regulatory framework, and better organization of the value chains (nat¹/reg¹ level)
- 2. Priority 2 : **reduce the transport costs**, with improvement of the infrastructures, support to the enforcement of regional regulation (free movement) and trade facilitation (reduce time in port & in crossing the border)
- 3. Others complementary measures :
 - Support to harmonization of regulations/standards at regional level
 - Support the structuring regional professional stakeholders
 - Improve the information of regional market and evolution of the demand of consumers (both national & regional)



Examples of complementary policies needed to improve regional markets

4. Last (but not least): private sector of developing countries are facing new challenges with the liberalization (EPA, EuroMed, others FTA...)

Increasing of the competition between national/regional productions and the imports :

Enterprises/producers <u>need to improve their competitiveness</u> on their own markets (both nationally and regionally), but also to be able to increase their exports on Northern and emerging markets = one of the main objective of the upgrading programs funded by AFD



Part II

Prioritization of reforms and role of complementary policies :

Case study of the Senegalese SME Upgrading Program



Upgrading and modernization of SMEs programs

AFD past experiences: Tunisia (1997), Morocco (2002), Senegal (2003, 2009), Mali (2009)

Main objectives

- Increase competitiveness of SMEs to improve access to and tapping of local, regional and international market opportunities in a context of trade liberalization and increased competition
- 2. Contribute to growth and job creation through SME development

Senegalese Program

- Approved in 2003, launched in 2005, achieved in 2010
- AFD grant of 11,9 M.EUR including 2 M.EUR contribution on PRCC resources (expected impact on trade integration) + UNIDO Technical Assistance
- Objectives:
 - 1. Implement a national upgrading and modernization policy
 - 2. Approve and finance **60 Upgrading Plans**
 - Perennialize the program in the context of national (Industrial Redeployment Program, Accelerated Growth Strategy) and regional policies (ex: WAEMU Industrial Policy, WA EPA Development Program)



Main principles of upgrading and modernization of SMEs programs

Eligibility criteria

I. Firm of local law with private capital 3. Turnover < 15 Bn.XOF (West-African Franc CFA)

2. Minimum of two years of existence 4. Willingness to undergo a diagnosis / scoring procedure

<u>Implementation - How to improve SME competitiveness?</u>

- Diagnosis realized by external consultants, coaching by the Upgrading Bureau
- Upgrading Plan (strategy + related investments) redaction, approved by a Steering Committee. The firm must undertake to finance 100% (capital or banks) of the plan:
 - Approved material investments (hard) = 20% subsidy
 - Approved immaterial investments (soft): studies, training, advisory, internal organization, information system, ISO certification, quality standards = 80% subsidy

Targeted sectors

- Sectorial focus if specific policy : construction, agro-industries, tourism...
- Excluded :
 - services : willingness to promote primary and secondary sector
 - financial sector, commerce, real-estate => speculation risk



Quantitative and qualitative impacts

Quantitative (2010 results)

- 276 firms declared eligible out of 930 identified firms / 69 approved Upgrading Plans (including 12 on WAEMU funds) / 50,9 Bn.XOF of anticipated investments, 34,2 Bn.XOF approved / 7,9 Bn.XOF of subsidies approved
- Indirect impact :
 - Consultancy sector: 789 M.XOF turnover generated for diagnosis (593 M.XOF in subsidies) for 3,5 Bn.XOF in immaterial investments (2,3 Bn.XOF in subsidies)
 - Banking sector : credit production increase

Qualitative (2008 survey among CEOs of firms that had Upgrading Plans approved)

- 7 out of 10 firms indicated they would have not completed their initial investment plan (established prior to contact with the Upgrading Bureau) without the Upgrading Program
 - Subsidies and coaching are an effective incentive
- Other satisfaction items: quality of the coaching of the Upgrading Bureau, introduction of new technology in production process, equipment modernization, better understanding of strategic positioning, improvement of internal organization



Trade-related impacts

- 2008 inquiry revealed a 70% satisfaction rate on the
 « conquering new markets » item (rank: 6th)
- Sample example : Société Industrielle de Papeterie au Sénégal
 - New local market opportunity tapped / import substitution : SIPS increased turnover by 20% in one year by diversifying production in children diapers, a product previously only imported in Senegal.
 - SIPS obtained an international diapers brand certification (Huggies) and brand rights for exports in the WAEMU trade zone (tariff-free exports). Total exports went from 9% of turnover in 2007 to 19% in 2009 (investments finalized and subsidies disbursed in 2008).
- New inquiry in 2011 (end of pilot-phase) should give a better view of trade-related impacts.



Lessons learned

Lessons learned

- Expertise of the Upgrading Bureau: the Bureau needs to be recognized as competent on the upgrading process AND industry-wise to be able to speak on par with CEOs.
- Balance of the Steering Committee: public-private balance, representation of banks.
- Incentive of the subsidy: in face value AND in terms of speed of transfer. After the
 process is done, the subsidy is often considered as a way of minimizing the financial cost of
 the investments.
- Need of structuring beforehand: eligible firms need to be able to withstand the process. They must be able to finance the plan without the subsidy. This requires being able to dedicate one person almost full-time for data collection, consultant management and relationship with the Bureau. Smaller SMEs are de facto excluded.
- Value of the diagnosis: most firms have little knowledge of their positioning, internal
 organization, strength and weaknesses, costs, production times.
- Hard is preferred to soft: the Upgrading Process allows firms to discover the value of immaterial investment (training, patents, norms) and consultancy.





Thanks a lot! Any questions?

Contact information (AFD headquarters in Paris)

Jean-René Cuzon, Chargé de Mission « Commerce et Développement » (cuzonjr@afd.fr)
Ian Parizot, Chargé d'Affaires « Secteur Financier et Appui au Secteur Privé » (parizoti@afd.fr)



