Section or page #	Comments
p. 7	These OECD Guidelines as a very important document for improving corporate governance in all OECD countries.
Ownership and control	Precise wording helps to avoid any possible interpretation.
	The proposed wording on "indirect control" ("indirectly holding the majority of the share capital") will create opportunities for states to intervene in the governance of subsidiaries by regulating, through national legislation, aspects that must be within the competence of the parent company - the shareholder or at the discretion of the subsidiaries' collegial bodies.
	Without clarification, these provisions would constitute excessive State interference and defeat the purpose of the Guidelines: "They are the internationally agreed standard for how governments should exercise the state ownership function to avoid the pitfalls of both passive ownership and excessive state intervention ".
Long-term interest	Executives and employees of state-owned listed enterprises should be entitled to stock option schemes , just as in private companies. This is linked to the achievement of long-term
interest	objectives. However, in many cases, national governments have avoided this for political
remuneration	reasons (although this would not reduce the state's shareholding).
	If this were to be provided for in these Guidelines , it would bring state-owned enterprises closer to best practice worldwide.
	E.g. G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance IV.A.6. Remuneration of members of the board and key executives.
	Information about board and executive remuneration is also of concern to shareholders,
	including the link <u>between remuneration and the company's long-term performance,</u> <u>sustainability and resilience.</u> [], so that investors can assess the costs and benefits of
	remuneration plans and the <u>contribution of incentive schemes</u> , <u>such as stock option schemes</u> ,
10	to company performance.
p. 19	"Politicians who are in a position to influence materially the operating conditions of solds should not serve on their boards. Former such persons should be subject to predetermined
VI. E.	cooling-off periods."
	I would like to propose that this provision (in bold) would be eliminated. Otherwise, it will create an opportunity for a step backwards in countries where politicians are not board members. It should be noted that politicians, although they are not in a position to influence materially the operating conditions of SOEs, are linked to a large circle of influence, such as political
	parties etc.