

# PAGE 4: B.1) YOUR CASE STORY: TITLE AND DESCRIPTION

## Q1: TITLE OF CASE STORY

Translation DB: Studies for the construction of the new international Rumichaca bridge and structural revision of the old bridge.

### Q2: CASE STORY ABSTRACT

Studies for the construction of the new international Rumichaca bridge and structural restoration of the old bridae.

Bilateral commitment, 100% fulfilled, enabled and up and running.

The main objective is to improve road infrastructure to allow better transit which will consolidate trade and tourism between Ecuador and Colombia.

### Q3: LONG DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE STORY

On 1 March 2011, Colombia's Ministry of Transport, Ecuador's Ministry of Transport and Public Works, and the Andean Development Corperation, signed a non-Refundable Technical Cooperation Agreement, in which CAF approved technical cooperation to conduct "(...) studies for the construction of a new bridge at the Rumichaca border crossing between Ecuador and Colombia, which will include the structural review of the current bridge, with the aim of consolidating trade and tourism betwween the two countries.

The agreement has an estimated value of \$302,000.00.

The CAF proceeded with a selection process, which included a short list, through an International Public Tender, and the company chosen was CONSORCIO FRONTERACTIVA (composed of the companies Proeza Consultants SAS and SAS TYPSA Technology and Projects), who submitted a proposal costing US\$241.431.31 and lead time of four months.

As for the existing bridge, at the meeting of Ministers on 16 July 2013 in Quito, the Joint Committee was authorized to proceed with the hiring of Ing. Diego Olmedo Fiscalizador for the Pathological Studies of the current bridge, who was given the terms of reference to conduct the structural and safety evaluation of the Rumichaca bridge. Once the evaluation studies of the existing bridge are finalized, according to the terms of reference authorized by both countries, they will be handed to the construction company for implementation.

**Q4:** Please add here web links to project/programme Respondent skipped this question materials.

PAGE 5: C.2) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

# PUBLIC SECTOR CASE STORY TEMPLATE

Q5: YOUR CONTACT DETAILS Name: Ministry/Institution/Organization: Country: Email Address:	MBA. Ramiro Machay MTOP Ecuador rmachay@mtop.gob.ec
Q6: FUNCTION	Public sector
Q7: FUNDING PARTNER Tick the appropriate box(es)	Bilateral donor
Q8: Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: START DATE OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME	1 March 2011
Q10: STATUS OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME	Fully implemented
Q11: DURATION OR, IF ON-GOING, EXPECTED DURATION OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME	Less than 12 months
Q12: COST OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME	Between US\$0.5 million and US\$1 million
Q13: Additional information	Respondent skipped this question
Q14: TYPE OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT/PROGRAMME	Grant

## PAGE 6: C.2) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

	Q15: PROJECT/PROGRAMME TYPE	Multi-country (i.e. 2 or more countries)	
F	PAGE 7: C.2) ABOUT THE CASE STORY		
	Q16: SINGLE COUNTRY/CUSTOMS TERRITORY	Respondent skipped this question	

PAGE 8: C.2) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

### PUBLIC SECTOR CASE STORY TEMPLATE

Q17: REGION(If the region does not appear in the drop down menu, please enter manually.)

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 9: C.2) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

### Q18: MULTI-COUNTRY(Enter all countries or customs territories)

ECUADOR AND COLOMBIA

PAGE 10: C.4) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

Q19: CASE STORY FOCUSTick the appropriate Upgrading transport infrastructure box(es)

PAGE 11: C.5) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

uccessful

PAGE 12: C.6) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

Q21: WHAT WERE THE OUTPUTS OF THE PROJECT/PROGRAMME Tick the appropriate box(es)	New or updated transport infrastructure (e.g. roads, bridges, airports)
Q22: Additional information(maximum 300 words)	Respondent skipped this question

#### PAGE 13: C.7) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

Q23: WHAT WERE THE OUTCOMES OF YOUR PROJECT/PROGRAMMETick the appropriate box(es)	Reduction in road haulier waiting times, Increase in road haulage volumes
Q24: Additional information(maximum 300 words)	Respondent skipped this question

Q25: WHAT WERE THE IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT/PROGRAMMETick the appropriate box(es)	Increase in employment
Q26: Additional information(maximum 300 words)	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 15: C.9) ABOUT THE CASE STORY

Q27: LESSONS LEARNT Tick the appropriate box(es)	Importance of agreeing clear project implementation responsibilities
	Importance of political will and commitment by project partner
Q28: Additional information(maximum 300 words)	Respondent skipped this question
Q29: PROJECT OR PROGRAMME MONITORING AND EVALUATION FRAMEWORK Tick the appropriate box(es)	Project baselines set, Ex post evaluation